

Siemens Medical Solution USA, Inc. % Ms. Patricia D. Jones Sr. Regulatory Affairs Specialist 40 Liberty Boulevard 65-1A MALVERN PA 19355

September 12, 2019

Re: K190780

Trade/Device Name: syngo Application Software

Regulation Number: 21 CFR 892.2050

Regulation Name: Picture archiving and communications system

Regulatory Class: Class II Product Code: LLZ

Dated: August 9, 2019 Received: August 13, 2019

Dear Ms. Jones:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. Although this letter refers to your product as a device, please be aware that some cleared products may instead be combination products. The 510(k) Premarket Notification Database located at https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpmn/pmn.cfm identifies combination product submissions. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration. Please note: CDRH does not evaluate information related to contract liability warranties. We remind you, however, that device labeling must be truthful and not misleading.

If your device is classified (see above) into either class II (Special Controls) or class III (PMA), it may be subject to additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Parts 800 to 898. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Part 801); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803) for devices or postmarketing safety reporting (21 CFR 4, Subpart B) for combination products (see

https://www.fda.gov/combination-products/guidance-regulatory-information/postmarketing-safety-reporting-combination-products); good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820) for devices or current good manufacturing practices (21 CFR 4, Subpart A) for combination products; and, if applicable, the electronic product radiation control provisions (Sections 531-542 of the Act); 21 CFR 1000-1050.

Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to https://www.fda.gov/medical-device-problems.

For comprehensive regulatory information about medical devices and radiation-emitting products, including information about labeling regulations, please see Device Advice (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance) and CDRH Learn (https://www.fda.gov/training-and-continuing-education/cdrh-learn). Additionally, you may contact the Division of Industry and Consumer Education (DICE) to ask a question about a specific regulatory topic. See the DICE website (https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice">https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/device-advice-comprehensive-regulatory-assistance/contact-us-division-industry-and-consumer-education-dice) for more information or contact DICE by email (DICE@fda.hhs.gov) or phone (1-800-638-2041 or 301-796-7100).

Sincerely,

For

Thalia T. Mills, Ph.D.
Director
Division of Radiological Health
OHT7: Office of In Vitro Diagnostics
and Radiological Health
Office of Product Evaluation and Quality
Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Food and Drug Administration Indications for Use Form Approved: OMB No. 0910-0120 Expiration Date: 06/30/2020 See PRA Statement below. 510(k) Number (if known) K190780 Device Name syngo Application Software

Indications for Use (Describe)

The syngo Application Software is a medical software for real-time viewing, image manipulation, 3D-visualization, communication, and storage of medical images and data on exchange media. It is used for diagnostic image viewing and post processing and for viewing and post processing during interventional procedures.

The syngo Application Software can be deployed on independent hardware such as a stand-alone diagnostic review, post-processing, and reporting workstation. It can also be configured within a network to send and receive DICOM data.

Furthermore, the syngo Application Software can be deployed on systems of the Siemens Angiography system family. It provides image guided solutions in the operating room, for image guided surgery, by Image Fusion and by navigation systems, image guided solutions in interventional cardiology and electrophysiology and image guided solutions for interventional oncology, interventional radiology, and interventional neuroradiology.

The syngo Application Software can also be combined with fluoroscopy systems or Radiographic systems.

The syngo Application Software can be configured with a variety of syngo or Windows-based software options, which are intended to assist the physician in diagnosis, treatment planning and treatment control. It includes commercially available post-processing techniques and OEM options.

Procedures that can be performed include: minimally invasive surgical procedures and minimally invasive tumor treatment.

Type of Use (Select one or both, as applicable)		
Prescription Use (Part 21 CFR 801 Subpart D)	Over-The-Counter Use (21 CFR 801 Subpart C)	
CONTINUE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF NEEDED.		

This section applies only to requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DO NOT SEND YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE PRA STAFF EMAIL ADDRESS BELOW.

The burden time for this collection of information is estimated to average 79 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data sources, gather and maintain the data needed and complete and review the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this information collection, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to:

Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Office of Chief Information Officer Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) Staff PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov

"An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number."

FORM FDA 3881 (7/17) Page 1 of 1 PSC Publishing Services (301) 443-6740 EB



510(k) Summary: "syngo Application Software"

Company: Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.

40 Liberty Boulevard, 65-1A

Malvern, PA 19355

Date Prepared: September 11, 2019

This 510(k) summary of safety and effectiveness information is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of SMDA 1990 and 21 CFR § 807.92.

1. General Information:

Importer / Distributor:

Siemens Medical Systems USA, Inc. 40 Liberty Boulevard, 65-1A

Malvern, PA 19355

Establishment Registration Number:

2240869

Manufacturing Site:

Siemens Healthcare GmbH

Siemensstr. 1

91301 Forchheim, Germany

Establishment Registration Number:

3004977335

2. Contact Person:

Ms. Patricia D Jones

Technical Specialist, Regulatory Submissions

Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc.

40 Liberty Boulevard, 65-1A

Malvern, PA 19355

Phone: (610) 448-6474

Email: patricia.d.jones@siemens-healthineers.com

3. Device Name and Classification:

Trade Name: syngo Application Software

Classification Name: Picture Archiving and Communications

System

Classification Panel: Radiology

Classification Regulation: 21 CFR §892. 2050

Device Class:

Product Code:

Class II

LLZ

4. Legally Marketed Predicate Device

Trade Name: syngo Application Software



510(k) Clearance K173611 **Clearance Date** 03/16/2018

Classification Name: Picture Archiving and Communications System

Classification Panel: Radiology

Classification Regulation: 21 CFR §892. 2050

Device Class: Class II Product Code: LLZ

Recall Information: This predicate device has not been the subject

of any design related recalls.

5. Device Description:

The "syngo Application Software" (VE2) is medical diagnostic software for real-time viewing, diagnostic review, image manipulation, optimization, communication, reporting and storage of medical images and data on exchange media. It provides image guided solutions in the operating room, for image guided surgery, by Image Fusion and by navigation systems, image guided solutions in interventional cardiology and electrophysiology and image guided solutions for interventional oncology, interventional radiology, and interventional neuroradiology. It can be deployed with a variety of syngo or Windows based software options, which are intended to assist the physician in evaluation of digital radiographic examinations, including diagnosis and/or treatment planning.

Siemens "syngo Application Software" (VE2) is designed to work with digital radiographic, fluoroscopic, interventional and angiographic systems.

Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc. hereby submits this Traditional 510(k) to request clearance to market the three new optional software features: 1) syngo DynaCT Sine Spin; 2).syngo DynaCT Multiphase; and 3) syngo Embolization Guidance. These new features will be added to the existing "syngo Application Software" (VD20) which was cleared in K173611 on 16/03/2018. These new software features are the subjects of this submission.

The "syngo Application Software" may be installed either on Siemens released PC hardware, on Siemens X-ray systems or on Siemens angiography systems. The "syngo Application Software" (VE2) is within the same classification regulation and the intended use and the general Indications for Use Statement for Siemens' Picture Archiving and Communications System remains the same.

6. Indications for Use:

The *syngo* Application Software is a medical software for real-time viewing, image manipulation, 3D-visualization, communication, and storage of medical images and data on exchange media. It is used for diagnostic image viewing and post processing and for viewing and post processing during interventional procedures.



The *syngo* Application Software can be deployed on independent hardware such as a stand-alone diagnostic review, post-processing, and reporting workstation. It can also be configured within a network to send and receive DICOM data.

Furthermore, the *syngo* Application Software can be deployed on systems of the Siemens Angiography system family. It provides image guided solutions in the operating room, for image guided surgery, by Image Fusion and by navigation systems, image guided solutions in interventional cardiology and electrophysiology and image guided solutions for interventional oncology, interventional radiology, and interventional neuroradiology.

The *syngo* Application Software can also be combined with fluoroscopy systems or Radiographic systems.

The *syngo* Application Software can be configured with a variety of *syngo* or Windows-based software options, which are intended to assist the physician in diagnosis, treatment planning and treatment control. It includes commercially available post-processing techniques and OEM options.

Procedures that can be performed includes minimally invasive surgical procedures and minimally invasive tumor treatment.

7. Summary of Technological Characteristics of the Subject Device as Compared with the Predicate Device:

The subject device has the same intended use as the predicate device. Besides the proposed device modifications, the Subject Device has the same functionality and technology. Therefore the subject device is considered substantially equivalent to the commercially available Siemens' "syngo Application Software" (VD20).

All software components of the subject device are the same as the ones from the predicate device except for the new optional software applications as presented in the table below:

The table below provides comparison of the Subject Device modifications to the Predicate Device.

Modification	Subject Device syngo Application Software VE2	Predicate Device syngo Application Software VD20 (K173611)
syngo	This is a new feature with a spherical	syngo DynaCT is an imaging software
DynaCT	spiral trajectory with an additional	used to obtain CT like images. It allows
syngo Sine	sinusoidal movement component in	the reconstruction of three-dimensional
Spin	Cran/Caud direction. The 3D trajectory	volume data (consisting of tomographic
	starts with a Cran/Caud angle of zero,	slices) out of two-dimensional projection
	then goes to an angle of e.g. ten degrees	images acquired with a standard
	in Cran direction, goes back to zero in	angiographic C-arm device. It is also
	the middle of the trajectory, then goes to	used for imaging both high contrast



Madification	Subject Davice	Prodicate Davisa synge Application	
Modification	Subject Device syngo Application Software VE2	Predicate Device syngo Application Software VD20 (K173611)	
	ten degrees in Caud direction and back	objects (vessels with iodine, bone) and	
	to Cran/Caud zero at the end of the	soft tissue as well as other internal body	
	trajectory. This allows better data	structures for diagnosis, surgical	
	coverage resulting less cone beam	planning, interventional procedures and	
	artifacts.	treatment follow-up.	
Comparison	Comparison: The differences between the		
& Results	DynaCT is that the predicate device softwa		
	trajectory, which is an additional sinusoidal		
	direction. The reconstruction method is the		
	Results: Bench test were conducted and	were found acceptable and did not raise	
	any new issues of safety or effectiveness.		
	that the Subject Device is as safe and effect		
	Device that is currently marketed for the sa	•	
syngo	syngo DynaCT Multiphase can be used	syngo DynaCT uses a single spin to	
DynaCT	to assess the collateral status with time	derive CT-like images. syngo DynaCT	
Multiphase	resolved DynaCT, depicting 10 different	Cardiac with ECG triggering uses four	
	time points within a period of 60 seconds.	consecutive DynaCT runs to optimize	
	These DynaCT runs can be utilized in the	the image quality for cardiac imaging.	
	future for the generation of time resolved		
	DynaCT angiograms:		
Comparison	Comparison: The differences between the		
& Results	DynaCT (cardiac) is that the predicate device allows two runs (typically one mask		
	and one fill run) - four for DynaCT cardiac -The Subject Device feature allows ten		
	consecutive runs which results in depicting 10 different time points within a period of		
	60 seconds. The reconstruction method is	the same	
	Results: Bench test were conducted and	were found acceptable and did not raise	
	any new issues of safety or effectiveness. All software validation data demonstrates that the Subject Device is as safe and effective when compared to the Predicate		
	Device that is currently marketed for the sa		
syngo	The modified syngo Embolization	syngo Embolization Guidance was	
Embolization	Guidance in software VE2 allows the	introduced to determine automatically	
Guidance	proximal point to be set by user, thus	the feeder vessel for specific hepatic	
	reducing automation, but increasing the	lesions, e.g. a tumor. Part of this	
	applicability of syngo Embolization	automation relied on an automatic	
	Guidance. The calculation method itself	detection of the proximal point for the	
	remains as in the predicate device. The	algorithm to know where to start the	
	algorithm was not changed.	search for the feeder vessels.	
Comparison	Comparison: The differences between the		
& Results	VE2 and the Predicate Device syngo Emb		
	that allows the proximal point to be set by		
	increasing the applicability of the feature. The Subject Device automatically detects		
	the feeder vessel for specific hepatic lesions, e.g. a tumor. Part of this automation		
	relied on an automatic detection of the proximal point for the algorithm to know		
	where to start the search for the feeder vessels.		
	Results: Bench test were conducted and were found acceptable and did not raise		
	any new issues of safety or effectiveness. All software validation data demonstrates		
	that the Subject Device is as safe and effective when compared to the Predicate		
	Device that is currently marketed for the same intended use.		



The Subject Device modifications do not alter the fundamental scientific technology from the 510(k) cleared predicate device Siemens' "syngo Application Software" (VD20), K173611

8. Nonclinical Performance Testing:

Non-clinical tests were conducted for the "syngo Application Software" (VE2) during product development.

Siemens claims conformance to the following performance standards:

Recognition Number	Product Area	Title of Standard		Publication Date	Standards Development Organization
5-40	General	Medical devices - Application of risk management to medical devices	14971	2012	ISO
13-97	Software/ Informatics	Health software - Part 1: General requirements for product safety	82304-1	2017	IEC
13-32	Radiology	Medical Device Software - Software life- cycle process	62304 AC	2006 2008	AAMI ANSI IEC
5-114	General	Medical devices - Part 1 Application of usability engineering to medical devices	62366-1	2015	IEC
13-38	Software/ Informatics	Application of risk management for IT - networks incorporating medical devices - Part 1: Roles, responsibilities and activities	80001-1	2010	IEC

The modifications described in this Premarket Notification were supported with verification and validation testing.

Software Verification and Validation:

Software documentation for a Moderate Level of Concern software per FDA's Guidance Document "Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submissions for Software Contained in Medical Devices" issued on May 11, 2005 and "Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices" is also included as part of this submission. The performance data demonstrates continued conformance with



special controls for medical devices containing software. Non-clinical tests were conducted on "syngo Application Software" (VE2) during product development.

The Risk analysis was completed, and risk control implemented to mitigate identified hazards. The testing results supports that all the software specifications have met the acceptance criteria. Testing for verification and validation for the device was found acceptable to support the claims of substantial equivalence.

The Human Factor Usability Validation showed no safety relevant functions that need to be validated with a summative usability validation according to the IEC and FDA Guidelines. "syngo Application Software" (VE2) has been found to be safe and effective for intended users, uses and use environments through the design control verification and validation process. No further risk mitigations are necessary.

Siemens conforms to the cybersecurity requirements by implementing a process of preventing unauthorized access, modifications, misuse or denial of use, or the unauthorized use of information that is stored, accessed, or transferred from a medical device to an external recipient. Provided in this submission is a cybersecurity statement that considers IEC 80001-1:2010. The responsibility for compliance with IEC 80001-1-2010 is the hospital. Provided in the Software Section is the required cybersecurity information.

Performance Verification/Validation & Test Report Summaries:

The Software features "syngo DynaCT Sine Spin", "syngo DynaCT Multiphase" and "syngo Embolization Guidance" performance functionality has been tested and validated. Verification and Validation testing in the form of Unit, Subsystem and System Integration testing as well as system validation testing were performed to evaluate the performance and functionality of the new features and software updates. All testable requirements in the Engineering Requirements Specifications keys, Subsystem Requirements Specifications keys, and the Risk Management Hazard keys have been successfully verified and traced in accordance with the Siemens product development (lifecycle) process. The software verification and regression testing have been performed successfully to meet their previously determined acceptance criteria as stated in the test plans.

All verification and validation data demonstrate that the Subject Device is as safe and effective when compared to the Predicate Device that is currently marketed for the same intended use.

The following bench testing was performed for the new features "syngo DynaCT Sine Spin", syngo Dyna3D High Speed and syngo DynaCT High Speed such as

- Homogeneity of reconstructed image
- Spatial Resolution
- Contrast to Noise Ratio
- Geometric Distortion



- Radiation Metrics CTDI, DAP
- Artifact analysis
- Gantry positioning accuracy
- z-direction Resolution (Reconstructed section thickness)

Bench testing was performed for the new feature syngo DynaCT Multiphase. A phantom study was performed to exclude a potential reduction in image quality over 10 runs.

Bench testing was performed for the modified feature "syngo Embolization Guidance". The feeder detection algorithm was validated in a study of randomly selected clinical cases with the result that it is additionally suitable for prostate arteries.

The comparison of technological characteristic, non-clinical performance data, clinical images, Human Factor Usability data, and software validation data demonstrates that the Subject Device is as safe, and effective when compared to the Predicate Device that is currently marketed for the same intended use.

Siemens claims conformance to the following table of FDA Guidance Documents for this 510(k) submission.

 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff – User Fees and Refunds for Premarket Notification Submissions 510(k) Document issued on October 2, 2017 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: Refuse to Accept Policy for 510(k)s Document issued on January 30, 2018 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. Document issued on November 28, 2017 	FDA G	uidance Document and Effective Date
 Document issued on October 2, 2017 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: Refuse to Accept Policy for 510(k)s Document issued on January 30, 2018 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 	1.	Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff – User Fees and Refunds for Premarket
 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: Refuse to Accept Policy for 510(k)s Document issued on January 30, 2018 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		Notification Submissions 510(k)
Accept Policy for 510(k)s Document issued on January 30, 2018 3. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 4. Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 5. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 6. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.		
 Document issued on January 30, 2018 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices	2.	Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: Refuse to
 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Format for Traditional and Abbreviated 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices		
 510(k)s - Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff Document issued on August 12, 2005 4. Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 5. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 6. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
 Document issued on August 12, 2005 4. Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)]	3.	
 Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The 510(k) Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
Program: Evaluating Substantial Equivalence in Premarket Notifications [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 5. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 6. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.		
 [510(k)] Document Issued on July 28, 2014 5. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 6. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 	4.	
 Document Issued on July 28, 2014 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Submission Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
Premarket Notifications for Medical Image Management Devices Document Issued on July 27, 2000 6. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	_	Document Issued on July 28, 2014
 Document Issued on July 27, 2000 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 	5.	
 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for the Content of Premarket Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
Submission for Software in Medical Devices Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.		
Document issued on May 11, 2005 7. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	6.	
 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Guidance for Off-The-Shelf Software Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
Use in Medical Devices Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	7	
Document issued on September 9, 1999 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	7.	
 8. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Applying Human Factors and Usability Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications. 		
Engineering to Medical Devices. Document issued February 3, 2016 9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	0	
9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	0.	
9. Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Pediatric Information for X-ray Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.		
Imaging Device Premarket Notifications.	a	
	5.	
10 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Content of Premarket Submissions for	10	



FDA Guidance Document and Effective Date		
	Management of Cybersecurity in Medical devices.	
	Document issued on October 2, 2014	
11.	Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Appropriate Use of Voluntary	
Consensus Standards in Premarket Submission for Medical Devices		
	Document issued on September 14, 2018	

Summary of the performance Testing Data:

Performance tests were conducted to test the functionality of the "syngo Application Software" (VE2). These tests have been performed to assess the functionality of the Subject Device. Results of all conducted testing were found acceptable and do not raise any new issues of safety or effectiveness.

All software validation data demonstrates that the Subject Device is as safe and effective when compared to the Predicate Device that is currently marketed for the same intended use.

9. General Safety and Effectiveness Concerns:

Instructions for use are included within the device labeling, and the information provided will enable the user to operate the device in a safe and effective manner.

Risk management is ensured via a hazard analysis, which is used to identify potential hazards. These potential hazards are controlled via software development, verification and validation testing. Furthermore, the operators are health care professionals familiar with and responsible for the evaluating and post processing of medical images.

10. Conclusion as to Substantial Equivalence:

The predicate device "syngo Application Software" (VD20), K173611, was cleared based on non-clinical supportive information and clinical images and data. Similar non-clinical test results demonstrate that the subject device "syngo Application Software" (VE2) acceptance criteria are adequate for the intended use of the device. The comparison of technological characteristic, non-clinical performance data, clinical images, and software validation data demonstrates that the subject device is as safe, and effective when compared to the predicate device that is currently marketed for the same intended